



COE Annual Conference & TechniFair April 25-28, 2004 • Miami Beach, Florida

G-R: Getting the Most Gains Out of Knowledge-Based Engineering

Jeff Rogers and Brian Prasad

Parker Hannifin Corporation Aerospace Group Control Systems Division









Parker Hannifin Corporation







Strategic Charter

To be the premier provider of motion and control systems for our global customers





Our mission is to provide unequaled value through superior performance technical innovation speed and responsiveness premier customer service financial strength to our customers, company, team members and community





Continuous Improvement

Innovation

Values Integrity Teamwork Leadership Performance Continuous Learning

































Product-development process







As-is process





What's wrong with this?

- Knowledge is fragmented
- Subject matter experts (SME) often scarce and busy



- When people retire, information is lost
- Less uniformity and consistency
- Time-intensive, manpower dependent
- Often design is done via trial and error case-based reasoning











Let's consider an example





Serial, tightly-coupled KBE system

-Darker





Modula

Procedura

Drawbacks of procedural process

- Part and product specific
- Hard-coded interfaces
- Cumbersome to maintain
- Incompatible API's
- External parameter linking issues
- Very sensitive to interface changes (parameters, rules, features)
- Expansions are complex and error prone
- Inflexible





A system's approach











Merits of modular process

- Product-Independent
 - Architecture
- Part-Independent
 - Concept
- Tool-Independent
 - Method





A CATIA v5 implementation

- System Architecture
 - JustOne system model and common tree structure
- Generative Rule Bodies
 - Rule bodies create more rules dynamically on the tree; asleep until awaken
 - Retrieve templates; no generative geometry
- Internal Linking
 - Two generalized automation methods to pass/exchange information intrapart and interpart



Demo-Applying the concept

2.57 (74







Demo–What's in play?

- Two summary Excel spreadsheets (materials and functional requirements)
- Seed file (PKT, GenScript)
- A new CATProduct



Demo–Initialize the tree







Demo–Salient points

- Initialized the system/customer specs
 - Automation
- Used KBE scripting language to construct a reconfigure-able and smart model of the product
 - Automation
- Defined a collection of ready-to-fire rule bodies for reconfiguring part
 - Reusability
- Built "generatively" a product tree
 - Extensibility















Demo–What's in play?

- Three templates for each part
- Other design tables in background
- A new CATProduct for each part





Demo–SmartParts Creation







Demo–Salient points

- Defined new rules for creating SmartParts
 - Reusability
- Rules fired to build new product tree
 - Extensibility
- Each product tree has three components of SmartPart
 - Systematization
- Interpart relations were established to bind components of the SmartPart
 - External links eliminated, maintainability





271 (9





Demo–Salient points

- Specs parameters & constraints passed from "systems" to "subsystems", to "components," to "parts" during "decomposition" and vice versa during "aggregation"
- Smart Parts were "instantiated" and constraints satisfied
- Solution is reconfigurable for changing spec requirements





Engineered design...

...directly from spec

- Good for early program stages (Quick evaluation of various "alternate designs" scenarios)
- Gets you 80% there and you can finish the rest (20%) in native CATIA mode





Engineered design...





- Take a holistic view of your product development needs
- KBE has its own life. Think about integration and interfaces. They are big deal for KBE.
- Employ a modular, open, and concurrent strategy for building KBE systems
- Think engineering centric versus geometrycentric; analysis driven, geometry is a byproduct
- Follow a knowledge management framework for applying KBE



Darker

Knowledge Management Framework (KEPT)

> System Architecture

SmartPart Concept

SearchAttribute Methods

> Best Practices



- Leverage Knowledge (K)
 - Capture and maintain intellectual capital
 - Use spreadsheets for inputting specs, material data and rules since interfaces are system-maintained
 - Try not to fragment your knowledge and rules into multiple systems / multiple interfaces
 - Great value in storing your rules & equations in your strategic PLM system



- Engage Enterprise (E)
 - Establish a knowledge sharing culture
 - Educate about KM, KBE, and its benefits
 - Create a cross-functional KBE team
 - Make it easy for SME's to contribute and maintain knowledge
 - Appoint Knowledge Keepers
 - Use proactive promotion for KBE thinking



- Develop Automated Process (P)
 - Identify value-streams

 (e.g., streamline repetitive tasks)
 - Automate to design processes

 (e.g., Product Configurator, SmartPart
 Configurator, and others)
 - Develop strategies to minimize interfaces



- Apply Advanced Tools (T)
 - Use system engineering techniques
 - Build inside CATIA V5 using Knowledge Advisor (KWA), Knowledge Expert (KWE), and Product Knowledge Template (PKT)
 - Minimize writing version dependent code





Special Credit

Dr. Brian Prasad and the KDA Team at Parker CSD

> Virtual Services MSC.Software

bprasad@parker.com, jrogers@parker.com www.parker.com





Questions?

Watch for us on the History Channel's Modern Marvels, May 12, 2004





bprasad@parker.com, jrogers@parker.com www.parker.com